EXHIBIT 9

On December 6, 2022, Class Plaintiffs¹ entered into a settlement agreement to resolve economic loss claims asserted against JUUL Labs, Inc. (JLI) and certain additional Released Parties involving the manufacture, labeling, marketing, and sale of JUUL—an electronic nicotine delivery system consisting of an electronic cigarette and a nicotine pack called a JUULpod. Class Plaintiffs moved the Court for preliminary approval of the proposed class action settlement, the terms and conditions of which are set forth in the Class Settlement Agreement filed with the Court on December 19, 2022, Dkt. 3724.

The proposed settlement does not include Altria Group, Inc. or related companies (included but not limited to those named as Defendants in this litigation) so no class or individual claims against those entities will be released, and the litigation against those Defendants will continue.²

The Court has read and considered the Motion for Preliminary Approval ("Motion") and all of the supporting documents, including the Class Settlement Agreement and attachments, the proposed Notice Plan, and the proposed Plan of Allocation. The Court finds that there are sufficient grounds for the Court to direct notice of the Settlement to be disseminated to the proposed Settlement Class, and authorize the steps needed to determine whether the Class Settlement Agreement should be finally approved and the economic-loss claims against JLI and the Released Parties (other than antitrust claims) dismissed.

Accordingly, it is **HEREBY ORDERED** that:

1. The proposed Class Settlement Agreement is preliminarily approved as likely to be finally approved under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(e)(2) and as meriting notice to the Settlement Class for its consideration. This determination is not a final finding that the Settlement or Plan of Allocation are fair, reasonable, and adequate, but it is a determination that good cause

¹ The capitalized terms used in this Order shall have the same meaning as defined in the Class Settlement Agreement and Plan of Allocation except as otherwise noted.

² In separate agreements, JLI has resolved the claims brought by other claimants in the MDL, including individuals who asserted claims for personal injury, school district and local government entities, and Native American tribal entities.

8

6

11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

exists to disseminate notice to Settlement Class Members in accordance with the Notice Plan and to hold a hearing on final approval of the proposed Settlement and Plan of Allocation.

- 2. Considering the factors set forth in Rule 23(e)(2), the Court preliminarily finds as follows:
 - Class Plaintiffs and Class Counsel have adequately represented the Class.
 - The Class Settlement Agreement was negotiated at arm's length with the assistance of Thomas J. Perrelli, a well-respected and experienced private mediator, appointed by this Court.
 - The monetary relief provided to the Settlement Class is adequate given the risks, delay, and uncertainty of continued litigation and trial, the effectiveness of the proposed method of distributing relief to the class, the terms of the proposed award of attorney's fees, and any agreement required to be identified under Rule 23(e)(3).
 - d. The Class Settlement Agreement and Plan of Allocation treat all Class Members equitably relative to each other.
- Based upon the Motion and other submissions of the Parties, the Court finds that the 3. Settlement Class is likely to be certified for settlement purposes only. The Settlement Class is defined as: "All individuals who purchased, in the United States, a JUUL product from brick and mortar or online retailers before December 6, 2022." Excluded from the Settlement Class are: (a) the judges in this case, and any other judges that may preside (or have presided) over the Litigation, including the coordinated proceeding captioned JUUL Labs Product Cases, Judicial Counsel Coordination Proceeding No. 5052, pending in the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles, Department 11, Settlement Master Thomas J. Perrelli, and their staff, and immediate family members; (b) JLI, any Released Party, and any other named defendant in the litigation; (c) employees, officers, directors, legal representatives, heirs, successors, and wholly or partly owned subsidiaries or affiliated companies of JLI, any Released Party, and any other named defendant in the litigation; (d) Class Counsel and their employees; (e) all purchases for purposes of resale or

distribution; and (f) all individuals who timely and properly exclude themselves from the Settlement Class.

- 4. The Court preliminarily finds that:
 - a. Members of the Settlement Class are so numerous as to make joinder impracticable.
 - b. There are questions of law and fact common to the Settlement Class, and such questions predominate over any questions affecting only individual Settlement Class Members for purposes of the Settlement.
 - c. Class Plaintiffs' claims and the defenses thereto are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class Members and the defenses thereto for purposes of the Settlement.
 - d. Class Plaintiffs and their counsel have, and will continue to, fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class Members in this action with respect to the Settlement.
 - e. A class action is superior to all other available methods for fairly and efficiently resolving this action.
- 5. The Court previously certified a litigation class for claims under the federal Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (18 U.S.C. § 1962) ("RICO") and under California law for violation of the California Unfair Competition Law (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200), the California Consumer Legal Remedies Act (Cal. Civ. Code § 1750), the California False Advertising Law (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500), common law fraud, the implied warranty of merchantability, and unjust enrichment. The Court finds, for the reasons stated in the Motion, that the Settlement Class largely overlaps with those previously certified by the Court and that, for settlement purposes only, there is a sound basis for expanding the scope of the previously certified classes to encompass all the Settlement Class Released Claims against JLI and the Released Parties.

- 6. The Court finds, for the reasons stated in the Motion, that Class Plaintiffs and Class Counsel should be conditionally appointed to represent the Settlement Class. The Court appoints Dena Sharp of Girard Sharp LLP as Settlement Class Counsel.
- 7. The Court appoints and designates Epiq Systems, Inc. as the Settlement Administrator.
- 8. The Court approves the proposed Notice Plan, including the form, method, and content of the proposed notices, as well as the proposed claim form. The claim form and the notices are written in plain language, are easy to comprehend, and comply with the requirements of the Due Process Clause of the United States Constitution, Rule 23, and any other applicable law. The Court finds that, given the nationwide scope of the litigation and extensive notice being provided, notice via publication in a California newspaper under the CLRA is not required in this case.
- 9. Responsibility regarding Settlement Administration, including implementing the Notice Plan, processing of claim forms, making payments under the Plan of Allocation, and any other related tasks assigned to the Settlement Administrator under the Class Settlement Agreement or as this Court may order, shall be performed by the Settlement Administrator, subject to the oversight of Class Counsel and this Court as described in the Class Settlement Agreement. No distributions shall be made from the settlement fund, or any account holding the settlement fund, absent the express authorization of Class Counsel.
- 10. The settlement fund shall be maintained as part of the JLI National Settlement Trust, which the Court previously established as a "qualified settlement fund" within the meaning of Treasury Regulation Section 1.468B-1. The settlement fund shall remain subject to the continuing jurisdiction of the Court.
- 11. The Court authorizes the payment of up to \$3,000,000 from the Initial Class
 Settlement Administration Payment for notice and settlement administration costs and for trust
 administration costs prior to entry of Final Approval, subject to the authorization of Class Counsel.
 Payments shall only be made as reimbursement for costs already incurred.

12. Pursuant to Rule 23(e)(2) and 28 U.S.C. § 1715(d), a Final Approval Hearing shall					
be held on the date set forth below, before the undersigned at the Phillip Burton Federal Building					
and U.S. Courthouse, Courtroom 2, 17th Floor, 450 Golden Gate Ave, San Francisco, CA 94102, for					
the purpose of finally determining whether (a) the Settlement Class should be certified for					
settlement purposes under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3); (b) the Class					
Settlement Agreement and Plan of Allocation should receive final approval as fair, reasonable,					
adequate, and in the best interests of the Settlement Class in light of any objections presented by					
Settlement Class Members and the Parties' responses to any such objections; (c) the applications of					
Class Counsel for the payment of attorneys' fees and expenses and the payment of a service award					
to each class representative are reasonable and should be approved, and (d) the Court should enter					
final judgment and dismissing Settlement Class Members' claims, as provided in the Class					
Settlement Agreement. The Final Approval Hearing may be postponed, adjourned, or continued by					
further order of this Court.					

- 13. The Settlement Administrator shall provide a declaration attesting to its compliance with the obligations set forth herein and the terms of the Notice Plan by the deadline set forth below.
- 14. Each Settlement Class Member who wishes to be excluded from the Settlement Class must submit to the Settlement Administrator a written statement requesting exclusion from the Settlement. Such requests for exclusion must be made by submitting the online form on the settlement website or by mailing a valid exclusion request by First Class U.S. Mail to the address specified in the Long-Form Notice. Such requests for exclusion must be postmarked by the deadline set forth below. To be effective, the request for exclusion must:
 - a. Include the Class Member's full name and address;
 - Explicitly and unambiguously state his or her desire to be excluded from the Settlement Class; and
 - e. Be individually and personally signed by the Class Member (if the Class Member is represented by counsel, it must also be signed by such counsel).

- 10
- 13
- 15
- 16

- 20

- 24
- 26
- 27

- 15. Any Class Member who fails to submit a timely and valid written request for exclusion consistent with this Order shall be deemed to be a member of the Settlement Class (if finally approved) and as such shall be bound by all terms of the Class Settlement Agreement and orders of this Court pertaining to the Settlement Class.
- 16. Any member of the Settlement Class who elects to be excluded shall not receive any benefits of the Settlement, shall not be bound by the terms of the Class Settlement Agreement or any Final Approval Order, and shall have no standing to object to the Settlement.
- 17. Any Class Member wishing to make a claim must submit a Claim Form to the Settlement Administrator, pursuant to the instructions provided in the notice distributed to the Settlement Class. Whether submitted electronically online or mailed, Claim Forms must be postmarked no later than the deadline set forth below.
- 18. Any Settlement Class Member who does not submit a valid and timely request for exclusion may submit an objection to the Class Settlement Agreement. Any Class Member who intends to object to the Settlement or the Class Settlement Agreement (including any request for attorneys' fees, expenses, or service awards) must submit a written notice of objections to the Clerk of the Court and the Settlement Administrator. Objections are valid only if postmarked before the deadline set forth below. Objections must be individually and personally signed by the Settlement Class Member (if the Settlement Class Member is represented by counsel, the objection additionally must be signed by such counsel), and must include:
 - The case name and number (IN RE JUUL LABS, INC., Case No. 19-MD-02913-WHO).
 - The objecting Class Member's full name, address, and telephone number, and, if available, email address;
 - An attestation that the objector is a member of the Class;
 - A written statement of all grounds for the Objection, accompanied by any legal support for the Objection;

- e. Copies of any papers, briefs, or other documents upon which the Objection is based;
- f. The name, address, email address, and telephone number of every attorney representing the objector; and
- g. A statement indicating whether the objector and/or his or her counsel intends to appear at the Final Approval Hearing and, if so, a list of all persons, if any, who will be called to testify in support of the Objection.
- 19. The Settlement Administrator shall provide in writing to Defense Counsel and Class Counsel the names of those Class Members who have objected to the Settlement or who have requested exclusion from the Settlement Class in a valid and timely manner, and Class Counsel shall file a list of the persons who requested to be excluded from the Settlement Class and any objections (with supporting documentation) to the Settlement by the deadline set forth below.
- 20. Class Plaintiffs shall file a motion for Final Approval of the Class Settlement Agreement, including in response to any timely and valid objection to the Class Settlement Agreement, and any motion for attorneys' fees, expenses, and service awards by the deadline set forth below. Such materials shall be served on any member of the Settlement Classes (or their counsel, if represented by counsel) whose objection is addressed in the Final Approval briefing. Copies of the motions shall be made available on the settlement website.
- 21. Following the Final Approval Hearing, and based upon the entire record in this matter, the Court will decide whether the Class Settlement Agreement should be finally approved and, if so, whether any attorneys' fees and expenses should be awarded to Class Counsel, and whether service awards should be awarded to class representatives.
- 22. If the Court determines the Settlement is reasonable, fair, and adequate, the Court will issue a Final Order and Judgment.
- 23. Pending final determination of whether the Settlement should be approved, Class Plaintiffs and each Class Member, and any person purportedly acting on behalf of any Class Member(s), are hereby enjoined from pursuing, maintaining, enforcing, or proceeding, either

directly or indirectly, any Settlement Class Released Claims in any judicial, administrative, arbitral, or other forum, against any of the Released Parties, provided that this injunction shall not apply to the claims of Settlement Class Members who have timely and validly requested to be excluded from the Class. This injunction will remain in force until the Effective Date or until such time as the Parties notify the Court that the Settlement has been terminated. This injunction is necessary to protect and effectuate the Settlement, this Order, and this Court, authority regarding the Settlement, and is ordered in aid of this Court's jurisdiction and to protect its judgments.

- 24. In the event that the proposed Settlement is not finally approved by the Court, or in the event that the Class Settlement Agreement becomes null and void or terminates pursuant to its terms, this Order and all orders entered in connection herewith shall be of no further force and effect, and shall not be relied upon any purposes whatsoever in this Litigation or in any other case or controversy, and the Class Settlement Agreement and all negotiations and proceedings directly related thereto shall be deemed to be without prejudice to the rights of any and all of the Parties, who shall be restored to their respective positions as of the date and time immediately preceding the execution of the Class Settlement Agreement.
- 25. Counsel for the Parties are hereby authorized to utilize all reasonable procedures in connection with the administration of the Settlement which are not materially inconsistent with either this Order or the terms of the Class Settlement Agreement.
- 26. The following deadlines shall apply and within three business days of this order Class Plaintiffs shall file a notice setting the specific calendar date for each of the deadlines set forth below:

Event	Days After Entry of This Order
Payment of the Initial Class Settlement Administration Payment	5
Notice Period Commences (Email and Postcard)	28
Publication Notice Commences	28
Notice Period Concludes (Email and Postcard)	58

Case 3:19-md-02913-WHO Document 3724-10 Filed 12/19/22 Page 11 of 11

1		Event	Days After Entry of This Order		
2		Publication Notice Fully Concludes	88		
3		Notice Completion / Settlement Administrator Declaration Date	88		
5		Motion for Final Approval Deadline	127		
6		Fee and Expense Application Deadline	127		
7		Claims Filing Postmark Deadline	148		
8		Opt-Out Deadline	148		
9		Objection Deadline	148		
10 11		Opposition to Final Approval and Fee and Expense Application Deadline	148		
12 13		Deadline for the Parties to file information concerning timely filed opt out requests and objections	155		
14 15		Replies in support of Final Approval and Fee and Expense Application Deadline (including the filing of list of opt outs and objections)	169		
16 17		Final Approval Hearing	[To be Determined by the Court]		
18					
19					
20	Dated:, 2022				
21					
22	Hon. William H. Orrick, U.S. District Court Judge				
23			2 12 11 10 1 2 0 11 1 0 11 11 12 1		
24					
25					
26					
27					
28		10	NED CDANTING MOTION I		

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT CASE NO. 19-md-02913-WHO